



Is Engaging Online Learning Possible? A Study of an Innovative National Virtual Summer Program

Beth Schueler
University of Virginia

Martin R. West
Harvard University

We study an early effort amid the Covid-19 pandemic to develop new approaches to virtually serving students, supporting teachers, and promoting equity. This five-week, largely synchronous, summer program served 11,769 rising 4th-9thgraders. “Mentor teachers” provided PD and videos of themselves teaching daily lessons to “partner teachers” across the country. We interviewed a representative sample of teachers and analyzed educator, parent, and student surveys. Stakeholders perceived that students made academic improvements, and the content was rigorous, relevant, and engaging. Teachers felt their teaching improved and appreciated receiving adaptable curricular materials. Participants wanted more relevant math content, more differentiated development, and less asynchronous movement content. Findings highlight promising strategies for promoting online engagement and exploiting virtual learning to strengthen teacher development.

VERSION: January 2021

**Is Engaging Online Learning Possible?
A Study of an Innovative National Virtual Summer Program**

Beth Schueler
University of Virginia

Martin West
Harvard University

Abstract

We study an early effort amid the Covid-19 pandemic to develop new approaches to virtually serving students, supporting teachers, and promoting equity. This five-week, largely synchronous, summer program served 11,769 rising 4th-9th graders. “Mentor teachers” provided PD and videos of themselves teaching daily lessons to “partner teachers” across the country. We interviewed a representative sample of teachers and analyzed educator, parent, and student surveys. Stakeholders perceived that students made academic improvements, and the content was rigorous, relevant, and engaging. Teachers felt their teaching improved and appreciated receiving adaptable curricular materials. Participants wanted more relevant math content, more differentiated development, and less asynchronous movement content. Findings highlight promising strategies for promoting online engagement and exploiting virtual learning to strengthen teacher development.

Acknowledgements: This report was possible due to generous funding from Bellwether Education Partners. Mary Wells, Steven Wilson, and Saya Taniguchi provided detailed information about the program and survey data. Margaret Brehm, Olivia Burke, Isabelle Edwards, Jane Hammaker, Jasmine Howard, Alvin Makori, and Alita Robinson provided excellent research assistance. Shannon Kontalonis and Molly Michie provided valuable advice and administrative support. We are especially grateful to the NSSI teachers who took the time to speak with us about their summer experiences.

Motivation

The Covid-19 pandemic created an unprecedented disruption to our nation's school systems, leading to the near-universal closure of school buildings for the last three months of the 2019-2020 school year. At that time, scholars projected dramatic learning loss and a sharp increase in educational inequality (Kuhfeld & Tarasawa, 2020; Kuhfeld et al., 2020). Yet the disruption also generated a range of efforts to use remote instruction to mitigate these effects. The best available evidence suggests in-person instruction is preferable to virtual learning for student engagement and achievement (Bueno, 2020; Gallagher & Cottingham, 2020), but few studies have emerged to date of efforts to innovate in the virtual learning space amid the pandemic.

This study examines an early effort to develop new approaches to serving students and promoting educational equity via virtual learning. Specifically, we study the National Summer School Initiative (NSSI), a virtual program run over five weeks in summer 2020 that served 11,769 3rd to 8th grade students across the nation with the goal of minimizing Covid-19 learning loss. The roughly 50 partner schools or networks serve student populations that, on average, are 90% Black or Latinx and in which 79% qualify for subsidized meals (see Table 1). Roughly 43% of schools were operated by charter management organizations. The program was designed by current and former leaders of high-performing charter schools and a large school district home to a high-performing charter sector, in partnership with the nonprofit consultancy Bellwether Education Partners.

Leaders recruited a group of "mentor teachers" they considered to be among the nation's most talented educators to videotape themselves teaching each lesson to their own "fishbowl class" of students via Zoom. Mentor teachers were assigned "partner teachers" selected by the

partner school or network, with each mentor working with all of the roughly 50 English language arts or math teachers at each grade level. Partner teachers received access to lesson plans and video of the mentor teacher's class session before teaching the same lesson to students from their home school. They also received professional development from their mentor teacher. Beyond supporting students, the program aimed to prepare teachers for a possible virtual 2020-2021 school year and ease the burden on schools of creating robust summer programming while they focused on operational challenges and reopening plans.

We examine stakeholder perceptions of the program to inform the design of future interventions, including those that seek to exploit virtual learning amid disruptions to in-person instruction. Numerous studies estimate the extent of summer learning loss in pre-Covid times, and evaluate programs designed to support struggling students during vacation breaks (e.g., Kim & Quinn, 2013; Lauer et al., 2006; Pyne, Messner & Dee, 2020; Schueler, 2018). However, scholars have yet to examine such efforts either in virtual settings or amid near-universal school closings.

For 2020-2021, a large share of districts nationwide remain reliant on virtual or hybrid instruction. Leaders revised the NSSI model into an initiative called Cadence Learning that allows schools, districts, networks, and learning pods to gain access during the school year. Preliminary data on actual—rather than projected—learning loss due to Covid-19-induced school closures shows students achieving at lower levels in Fall 2020 than in prior years, especially in math, though a lack of testing data for many students leaves uncertainty about the full scope of the decline (Kuhfeld et al., 2020). Therefore, it is essential for the field to learn from early efforts to implement high-quality virtual instruction and mitigate learning loss.

The National Summer School Initiative

The student day at NSSI ran about three hours and forty-five minutes and the teacher day extended for an additional 90 minutes of preparation and PD. There were three core academic classes: novel studies, close reading, and math stories. Novel studies focused on reading and discussing what leaders described as an “exceptional novel” and developing pleasure in analyzing texts. In close reading, students discussed and wrote about shorter selections of poetry, short fiction, and nonfiction. In math stories, students solved a problem of the day designed to be “real world” applicable not by using particular algorithms but by applying their existing knowledge of math to the context. Teachers then led students through a “discourse” in which students discussed how they solved the problem, with the goal of developing Common Core-aligned conceptual understanding. The theory of action was that lower-performing students would be able to contribute ideas about how to solve the problem while also seeing a peer student’s more sophisticated solution. More advanced students would in turn be challenged to think of more than one method for solving. Novel studies and math stories were held daily, while close reading was held three days a week. The other two days, students had an enrichment class that included self-directed educational activities and synchronous virtual science labs. A daily asynchronous movement and mindfulness class included yoga, fitness, and dance.

Prior to the program, teachers attended a week-long virtual training by the Lavinia Group, an organization that has previously served several leading charter networks. During the program, teachers had a daily PD session focused on intellectual preparation for the next day’s lesson and analysis of student work. The program was operated not for profit and funded by philanthropists. Partners received the curriculum, training, and mentor teacher services free of charge. They had to pay partner teachers, for any technology students needed to participate in the

program, and for a local administrative coach to serve as their point of contact. Leaders recommended 20-30 students per section with a maximum of 40.

Research Questions

We draw on survey data from teachers, students, parents, and administrative coaches, as well as interviews with partner and mentor teachers, to address the following questions:

- 1) To what extent did students, teachers, and parents perceive that NSSI affected student academic skills, interests, and attitudes toward school and learning?
- 2) How did NSSI participation affect teacher self-reported morale, self-efficacy, and development?
- 3) What did participants perceive were strengths and weaknesses of the program?

Methods

To learn about stakeholder perceptions of NSSI, we interviewed partner and mentor teachers and analyzed internal survey data. For interviews, we identified a stratified sample of 60 partner teachers from the total group of 513 and 12 mentor teachers from the full group of 15 based on the teacher's subject and grade and whether the teacher worked at a charter management organization (CMO) school. Our interview sample ultimately included 28 teachers (22 partner teachers and 6 mentor teachers) who responded to our request. Table 2 indicates that the interview samples were representative of the full populations of teachers on all dimensions for which we have data.

A team of eight researchers conducted interviews via Zoom using a semi-structured protocol. Interviews lasted between 45 and 60 minutes. Teachers received a \$50 gift card for

their time. Interviews were transcribed and double-coded using Dedoose coding software. The 130 primarily inductive codes fell into categories such as curriculum, diversity, feedback, leaders, mentor teachers, morale, operations, schedule, students, teacher collaboration, teacher development, teachers, lessons about virtual learning, and workload. We analyzed the resulting coding for major themes summarized below. Protocol and codes are provided in the Appendix.

We also analyzed data from surveys conducted by the NSSI team in weeks one, three, and five of the program. Our focus is primarily on the week five, end-of-program results to assess perceptions based on the full program. Surveys were completed by administrative coaches who served as the primary school- or network-based contact at each partner school (n=42), mentor teachers (n=7), partner teachers (n=188), students (n=2,484), and parents (n=892). Table 2 shows that there are no statistically significant differences between the mentor survey sample and the full population of mentor teachers on observable characteristics. The partner teacher survey sample is generally representative but more likely to be missing data on the grade level taught (11% for the survey sample vs. 5% for the full population) and less likely to teach at a CMO (44% vs. 56%). We do not have data on the full population of students or parents to assess representativeness. All survey findings should be interpreted with caution as they may not reflect student, parent, and teacher opinions more broadly.

Findings

Stakeholders perceived that students made academic improvements. Partner and mentor teachers overwhelmingly perceived that students benefitted academically from participating in NSSI, although several acknowledged that there were limits to what could be accomplished in

five weeks. This student learning theme came up in 23 of our 28 interviewees, and was tied for the most frequently used code.

One ELA partner teacher described progress her students made with close reading and drawing connections between texts and real life:

I honestly felt like all of my kids, they improved... The idea that you can read a poem, and it could have a literal meaning and a deeper meaning... when one picks up an article to read it, you're not just reading to get done and look for your teacher to ask you some very basic questions, but that this article is supposed to make you think about life... things that you're experiencing today. That skill was not even there and I saw them develop that. And most of them told me... I read differently now. And I pay attention to what I read. And I'm going to be thinking about what I read and what it means for my life. –Partner Teacher 1

Math teachers similarly indicated that students at a variety of skill levels were able to become more flexible mathematicians:

My students benefited a ton through this program. Even my students that may have caught on to the concepts quicker... they were more flexible... when they finished, they ... would try a second or third method because they had learned different ways of doing it from the discourse the day before. Even my kids who might not have had access to multiplication or division or are not as strong with those facts, they would then feel more confident with answering the questions because... even though they can't do the quicker and most efficient method, they still were able to pull out other methods that they felt more confident in. –Partner Teacher 2

Survey results presented in Tables 3 and 4 echo these themes. Among partner teachers responding to the end-of-program survey, large majorities agreed that students improved their academic skills (82%), gained confidence in their academic abilities (83%), and became more interested in school and learning (72%) due to NSSI. Mentor teacher survey respondents unanimously agreed that students gained academic skills, confidence, and interest in learning as a result of NSSI. Eighty-six percent of both partner and mentor teachers indicated NSSI improved their perceptions of virtual learning, although some interviewees were quick to point out that certain things are either not possible or not optimal in virtual settings.

A majority of student survey respondents agreed that they grew as readers (81%) and mathematicians (75%), became more confident in their reading (68%) and math (65%) skills, and became more interested in school and learning (54%) during summer school, at least among those who completed the end-of-program survey (see Table 5). Parent responses (see appendix) exhibit a similar pattern. Most agreed their children improved in reading (75%) and math (77%), gained confidence in their academic abilities (75%), became more interested in learning (71%), and discovered a new interest (64%) due to summer school.

Stakeholders perceived that the content was rigorous, relevant, and engaging—especially in ELA. Teachers told us that a key program strength was the content covered by the curriculum. Themes related to the quality of the curriculum were among the most frequently mentioned in our interviews. More than twenty interviewees emphasized that the curriculum was rigorous, culturally relevant, and engaging.

Teachers were especially effusive about the novel choices, describing them as high-quality texts that elicited significant student investment. Teachers emphasized that, while engaging content is always important, this is especially critical in virtual settings where promoting engagement can be challenging. One ELA mentor explained:

In an ELA classroom, the text is the most important thing. That's your starting point. You need to pick something that students are going to want to talk about. Being online... you need to pick a short text... not only do you want to choose content that's going to be really engaging, challenging for students, but really relevant and something that they can latch on to, it also needs to be something that they can feel like we're moving fairly quickly through this versus oh we're slogging through... The curriculum for [my grade's] ELA class was amazing. It was an incredibly relevant and poignant text. Students were really invested... there is a particular scene in the book where you find out that two characters have died ... as soon as they read that portion, [the partner teacher's] class was kind of silent. And then a student who really hadn't spoken for most of the summer unmutes himself and goes, 'Really? ... Both of them had to die?' and then hits mute again, and she could just tell that they were so upset... so affected by what happened... We want students to feel that invested and that connected. –Mentor Teacher 1

Several teachers emphasized the cultural relevance of the ELA curriculum and ways the texts allowed students to draw connections to current events or their own lives. Teachers also highlighted that the novels and close reading texts were thoughtfully paired to reinforce concepts. One partner teacher put it this way:

The novel we read basically was about oppression and a girl fleeing from her native land to the United States. That opened up many different conversations because we know what's going on in our world today, as far as from Covid, to black and brown people being racially profiled, racial injustice, all the different things. I feel like us reading that novel—and it was a 12-year-old girl relating to the students—this girl had times where she was hiding in a closet, not able to go anywhere. Students were like, look I'm indoors because of Covid. There were so many connections that I feel were so intentional. And I feel like the students recognized that which helped spark that interest... Also, there were nonfiction articles we read, and related to the novel... And when students know things are put together, like if they know the why behind things, they're wanting to continue to investigate and learn, but if they don't see the connection, ... it's more like... why am I learning about this? ... At first though, it was a mystery. We started out with the nonfiction piece, and they were like, whoa, this is some deep stuff. Wow... I just don't understand. And then when I started reading the novel, they're like, oh, that's who that leader was? Oh my gosh, the leader! So it's like we gave them a suitcase filled with information. And once they got to the to the novel, they're like, oh, I get why we packed that in our suitcase, they started to make the connection, and it got them to the greater theme in the end. –Partner Teacher 3

Teachers further suggested that the curriculum expanded students' knowledge and awareness of global historical events:

A fairly straightforward strength [of the] program is they started with really good books... The book we read was about the partition of India, this super powerful and important moment in history in a beautifully told book, kids learned a lot about history, kids discussed Gandhi's ideas. I don't know, what do you want your own kids to be doing? Probably reading a really good book, discussing important ideas about the world ...you want books to be both mirrors for kids that they can see their own culture affirmed and honored, but you also want books that can be windows for kids and help them see into new experiences that are different from what they know. And I thought the books that they chose did a pretty great job of providing a little bit of both. –Mentor Teacher 2

A large majority (84%) of partner teacher survey respondents agreed that the curricular materials provided by NSSI were strong. Students gave additional indications that they found the program engaging, with 77% reporting that the energy in their online classrooms was positive

and 65% indicating they were happy to be in summer school. In sum, stakeholders perceived that the ELA curricular content and novel selection was a key program strength.

Stakeholders found the math pedagogy accessible and rigorous but believed the math content could have been more culturally relevant. Teachers told our interviewers that the approach to math instruction was engaging and rigorous for students at a variety of skill levels. The focus on developing flexibility and multiple methods of answering the same problem allowed lower-performing students to engage with the material by finding their own way to solve. It allowed higher-performing students to continue engaging even after they had discovered their first method of solving. One math partner teacher shared:

The rigor level was, for the most part, 'low floor high ceiling,' ... any kid can access it, and then they all allowed for multiple ways of solving which is really what made the discussion and the math really rich because even if this problem seems kind of easy on face value... there were so many ways of representing all this stuff, my high [performing] students weren't bored the whole summer... If you are coming in at a lower level, you can still access the problem. If you are coming in very strong in math already, there's still more you can do to make your work even better and to build your flexibility in solving problems. Especially because there was no order or progression in the way that they were presented. It could be anything from the whole year any day and that in itself was pretty rigorous. –Partner Teacher 4

An 81% majority of student survey respondents agreed that they learned new strategies to solve math problems, and 72% agreed they became increasingly comfortable solving math problems in more than one way. Teachers also argued that the discourse approach to mathematics, encouraging students to talk through their reasoning and ask questions of one another, fostered high levels of engagement. One partner teacher explained:

Because the conversations were being led by [the students], I think it was just way more enjoyable for them as well. And it was so much more enjoyable for me, because I was like, 'man, this is like, actual fun and the kids don't hate it.' And the kids that would come and had no clue, if they didn't understand the question the day before, they were the ones that then came in, and were asking so many questions when other people would share their work. 'Why did you do it this way? What is that?' Usually, I'm used to those kids just sitting there silently, like, 'I didn't get it, so I'm not going to participate' but I think

the discourse opened up that opportunity. If you didn't get the question, you still had so much opportunity to participate... It was not like a normal summer school at all. – Partner Teacher 5

By the end of the program, 63% of student survey respondents agreed they were more comfortable sharing ideas in math class.

Despite these strengths, teachers indicated that they believed the math problems themselves could have been more relevant to increase engagement further. Interviewees described the problems as “bland,” “boring,” “standard” and “not particularly innovative.” One mentor teacher described it this way:

I love the teaching approach... but the actual problems we put in front of kids were very 'blah,' to put it bluntly. There was a lack of cultural relevance and a lack of just like, 'I'm a teenager or preteen and I want to do math that actually is interesting to me or sparks some kind of interest versus some random problem about someone selling lemonade.' – Mentor Teacher 3

Several teachers noted that at least one mentor teacher tried to infuse the math curriculum with greater cultural relevance. While fellow teachers appreciated the intentions behind this effort, they noted that one math problem she developed—with a set up related to the “three-fifths compromise” under which enslaved people were treated as less than a full person in allocating representation under the U.S. Constitution—backfired. Without introduction to put the problem in context, some partner teachers and parents found the exercise offensive. A handful of interviewees suggested that NSSI leaders, despite their overall anti-racist orientation, could have done more to address this incident head on.

Stakeholders reported lower levels of student enthusiasm for the movement and mindfulness content. An important feature of NSSI was its emphasis on synchronous instruction. One exception were the movement and mindfulness classes which were pre-recorded and distributed to students for independent viewing. Administrators did not find this approach to

work especially well, with fully half of respondents disagreeing with the statement “movement and mindfulness was effective” (see Appendix Table A2).

Teacher interviewees also indicated low levels of engagement with this content. One math partner teacher suggested this was due to the lack of synchronous interaction:

The one thing they could kind of beef up a bit—but it was actually a great concept—was the movement and mindfulness. We were able to eliminate that block because our scholars did not respond to it. They really were not interested ... when it's all just a video, and they can't have any input, our scholars tend to zone out. —Partner Teacher 6

Student survey respondents indicated low levels of engagement, with 27% by the end of the program indicating they had never attended in the past week. Open-ended survey responses suggest that the asynchronous nature of the content was to blame. One student wrote, “I would go more if it was live.” Others described the class as “boring” or needing more variety.

Partner teachers perceived that the program improved their instruction. Overall, partner teachers felt that they improved their teaching as a result of participating in NSSI. Interviews revealed this was, in large part, due to access to the mentor teachers, who they generally perceived to be talented educators. The most common mechanism through which partner teachers suggested this occurred was by watching videos of mentor teachers leading classes through the same lessons partner teachers would teach four to five days later. Even more experienced teachers said this was helpful both in providing models for teaching the lesson and anticipating how students were likely to respond to particular parts of the curriculum.

Representative comments from ELA teachers include:

It was helpful—the mentor videos especially—to watch them and learn, okay, this is when she asks this question, and those transferable questions were really helpful, that was newer to me. So it was helpful to see how they would take a paragraph or part of the book and kind of break it down ... to see another teacher who's more experienced than me teach her students and where she would pause and what the key points were. —Partner Teacher 7

It was great to see someone else already roll out the lesson. In part because seeing other students' responses helped me anticipate what direction my kids may or may not take. And then I think it's always helpful to watch other teachers teach. That's actually not something we have a ton of time or opportunity to do in a regular school setting... Those mentor teachers are now some of the people I've observed teaching the most, in my entire six years of teaching, because I got to see them do a full 45-minute lesson every day. Whereas really thinking about like any colleagues I've had over the past six years, I've never seen anyone do a complete 45-minute lesson, let alone every single day. Just having that experience of getting to observe another really excellent teacher, was just great. –Partner Teacher 8

Survey data echoed these themes. Among partner teacher respondents, 80% agreed the “daily lesson videos and lesson flow documents provided by the mentor teacher are strong” and 79% agreed, “I am learning from my mentor teacher,” including 53% who strongly agreed. Among administrators, 67% agreed the “daily videos and lesson flow documents provided by mentor teachers are strong.”

Some partner teachers indicated that access to mentors from across the country was particularly valuable for those whose home districts had a limited supply of highly effective teachers. One partner teacher explains how this was true for hard-to-staff subjects:

Where I live, the math teachers I feel generally don't really understand the Common Core content. And I think there's a shortage of math teachers. So I think [NSSI] gave teachers a time to learn from people who really understand the content... and who really could teach them how to teach. –Partner Teacher 6

Partner teachers also appreciated that mentors the same lesson before they did and informed them about what worked and what did not. Mentors indicated that teaching these lessons built their credibility with partner teachers and improved the PD they provided. One math mentor explained:

I've done some whitewater kayaking in my in my life—and it's like the first run, right? You go out there, you get knocked over, you figure out where the eddies are, where the rocks are, and the currents, and then you come back and you say, 'Look, I survived the thing and let me tell you all about it.' So I think the teachers on the whole really appreciated that approach. I wasn't with them. I was one of them. I was just going four days earlier, trying to try things out, and some work, some didn't. I came back and

reported on it, showed them the video, and then they could learn in that way. And I would say you know never in the history of education has every second of every piece of instruction been recorded. Right? And that's what we what we accomplished... the promise for teacher development in that is really powerful.” –Mentor Teacher 4

In terms of the skills partner teachers developed, interviewees indicated that the program helped them prepare to teach more effectively online in preparation for a virtual or hybrid school year. Many suggested that they went on to become leaders at their home schools, providing guidance and support to colleagues who were less experienced with virtual learning. A large majority (87%) of partner teacher survey respondents agreed with the statement, “I will be a better teacher in the 2020-21 school year because of teaching at NSSI,” including 57% who strongly agreed.

Teachers said they improved their ability to promote student engagement, something they saw as a major challenge of virtual instruction. In fact, our code “virtual lessons – engagement is key” (indicating a takeaway about virtual learning) was among the most commonly applied codes across interviews. Partner teachers further argued that they improved on analyzing student work, giving feedback to students, featuring examples of student work to increase engagement, creating joyful online classrooms, and raising their expectations for what students could accomplish virtually. Others suggested that the program helped them realize that culturally relevant curriculum is important and possible to implement.

Mentor teachers enjoyed the program and felt their own practice improved. Mentor teachers were some of our most enthusiastic interviewees, suggesting that they appreciated the opportunity to participate in the program—despite the heavy commitment it involved—and even that their own teaching practice improved as a result. Mentors especially appreciated the ability to develop relationships with and learn from a community of other excellent teachers across the country, as two teachers (one math and one ELA) explained:

The quality of people that were hired was unbelievable—a lot of really talented, smart, thoughtful, hardworking folks of a variety of different backgrounds really helped us have a pretty rich conversation about many topics, ranging from pedagogical approach to what does our organization stand for when we talk about fighting for racial equity ... The people component was really wonderful. –Mentor Teacher 3

I really loved it... I would not say that it was just a walk in the park but one of the things that I really enjoyed was the chance to collaborate with other educators and to hear their perspectives from a number of different contexts... being able to learn from [a fellow mentor teacher] and the vast amount of experience that he has and being able to collaborate together. I feel like the professional relationships that I developed, I wouldn't trade those for anything. That alone made the summer worth it. –Mentor Teacher 1

In addition to working with partner teachers and providing guidance based on their own efforts to implement the curriculum, mentor teachers appreciated having a role in creating the program with NSSI leaders and felt they were given substantial autonomy to experiment:

What I loved about the organization was that it basically said, look, we have an idea of what we think the model is, but a big part of the model is hiring what we think are amazing teachers and letting them run with it... There was this kind of founders, innovation kind of spirit that was pervasive. There was a structure, and then within that structure, I felt like a musician who could riff. I could be like, 'oh, I'm going to try this, I'm going to put on a costume, I'm going to say this thing, I'm gonna spend eight minutes on this or 12 minutes tomorrow. I felt a real sense of freedom and innovation in the model that was really powerful. And that innovation done in small ways over and over led to a better and better experience for the kids over time. And because of our size, relatively small, the sharing of those best practices across the teachers, you just saw things get adopted and shared really quickly. –Mentor Teacher 4

Finally, mentor teachers appreciated that NSSI allowed them to expand their reach and have greater impact on students and teachers without leaving the classroom:

I'm a classroom teacher, and [NSSI] provides a really big platform for a classroom teacher. This whole debate in my field of, well, if you teach in the classroom, you only get to teach this many kids but if you become the superintendent or the director of this or that, you teach thousands of kids, but you don't really teach them you do things that allow them to learn more. This is a way to stay in the classroom but then have way more kids be impacted by the stuff you're doing, which is unique. –Mentor Teacher 4

Survey data was consistent with the interviews. Mentor teacher respondents unanimously agreed with the statement “I am happy that I am a mentor teacher this summer with NSSI,”

including 57% who strongly agreed, and also unanimously agreed that “NSSI increased my enthusiasm about being a teacher.” Satisfaction appeared to increase over time, as we show in Appendix Table A5. Our interview data suggest that this was due to the heavy workload as the inaugural program launched as well as mid-program adjustments leaders made based on early feedback.

Teachers appreciated receiving adaptable curricular materials. Another key program strength that partner teachers highlighted was the fact that NSSI provided them with what they perceived to be high-quality lessons rather than asking individual teachers to develop their own. This saved a significant amount of preparation time that they could instead use on other aspects of their teaching. However, they appreciated the flexibility they had to use these materials as they saw fit and to adapt them to their own teaching style and student needs. While some teachers actually played parts of the mentor teacher videos for their students, hit pause, ran the discussion, and then returned to the lesson, others simply watched the videos on their own in preparation for teaching their classes. As two partner teachers explained:

I've taught summer school loads of times through those 31 years and most of the time I had to just create stuff—so being able to have access to high-quality materials really streamlined what we were doing at summer school. We were really able to focus on meeting kids where they were at and meeting their needs, and really providing some extra education. –Partner Teacher 9

Not only did we have a very detailed and well thought out lesson plan to read, we also had a video of a person actually teaching this lesson. And so that just made preparation, I honestly don't know what more you could want... Teaching is hard and there's not enough time... Just knowing how much time goes into crafting lessons and planning. If more teachers had all of that time to (1) really internalize the lessons, (2) really focus on student work, give feedback and adjust for the next day... That's how you get the best outcomes for students is when the teachers really deeply know what they're teaching and where they're going with it. And I still think the NSSI model is adaptable and leaves lots of room for people to be teachers in their own way. It's not scripted... I could see the same lesson being carried out different ways at different schools or classrooms. –Partner Teacher 8

One of the ELA partner teachers (Partner Teacher 11) who taught a group of students at NSSI who he had not worked with in the past, when asked whether he was able to build a relationship with them, responded, “Totally. And part of that was because I wasn't spending so much time setting up lesson plans and worried about this and that. The fact that I knew certain things are already set up for me, it allowed me to spend time just getting to know the kids, their strengths, their weaknesses, their likes, and it just kind of flowed.”

Teachers wanted the professional development to be more differentiated. Although partner teachers generally felt NSSI helped them improve, they had suggestions for how to improve the pre-program and daily professional development sessions. At the program's start, teachers felt there was too much time built into the schedule for PD. Leaders responded by reducing the time—which was appreciated—but some teachers indicated that it was still too frequent and the placement in the schedule made it difficult to get the most of the PD because there was limited time between class and PD sessions. Partner and mentor teachers agreed expectations for PD attendance were also unclear.

Furthermore, several teachers suggested that components of the PD could have been further differentiated by teacher experience level, particularly to increase the value for more experienced partner teachers. One ELA partner teacher explained:

Everyone I worked with from my particular school, most all of us are veteran teachers. And I remember going through even the trainings and we were like saying this was not catered to teachers who've been core veteran teachers. This is catered to teachers who don't really have content knowledge. The questions that were being brought up by some teachers from other networks were questions about like, how do you do these simple things that are first year teacher questions. So all of us had similar feelings that this was not differentiated. –Partner Teacher 12

Mentor teachers we interviewed agreed that this was an area for improvement in terms of both differentiation and using the time in a less top-down way to engage the partner teachers more actively. One math mentor teacher argued:

The areas of improvement would just be around differentiation for teachers in terms of how we supported them in supporting providers was more geared towards novice teachers, and not kind of like support for more veteran teachers, or at least space for collaboration for veteran teachers. –Mentor Teacher 5

This was consistent with the survey data. Among administrators, only a bit more than half (54%) agreed that the “daily intellectual prep PD made my teachers stronger” and only 41% agreed that the “daily student work analysis made my teachers stronger.” Among the mentor teachers, 57% thought the intellectual prep was making teachers stronger, and only 29% agreed that the student work analysis time was making partner teachers stronger. Partner teachers were a bit more positive about these components, with 61% agreeing the intellectual prep was helping and 57% agreeing that the student work analysis time was helping. Unfortunately, we do not have data on teacher experience for the survey sample to test whether newer teachers were more satisfied with the PD.

Implications

This study of the National Summer School Initiative suggests that the program succeeded in providing a high-quality learning experience for thousands of students whose schooling had been interrupted by the Covid-19 pandemic while also providing professional growth opportunities for participating educators. Partner teachers and students who completed surveys reported marked growth in student learning and intellectual confidence. Participants perceived the curricular content to be high-quality, engaging, and culturally relevant, especially in ELA. Partner teachers valued the chance to work in sustained partnership with an expert mentor who

was teaching the same content, while the mentor teachers appreciated the unique opportunity to extend their reach. These same stakeholders perceived aspects of the program that could be improved: The daily math problems could have been more engaging. The asynchronous movement and mindfulness component was ineffective. Teachers wanted the professional development to be more differentiated according to their experience level. Even so, virtually all partner and mentor teachers reported that they were glad to have participated and would recommend it to a colleague.

Our study is limited to self-reported perceptions and cannot speak definitively to changes in participating students' academic performance. We also urge caution in drawing conclusions from our survey data since respondents may not be representative of all participants. Nonetheless, our findings on stakeholder perceptions suggest several lessons for the field at a time when virtual learning is widespread. First, teachers, students, and parent participants believe that learning is indeed possible in a virtual environment and generally felt that the program improved their perceptions of what can be accomplished with online education. Our results also highlight the challenge of promoting student engagement in virtual settings and seem to suggest the superiority of synchronous over asynchronous content for doing so.

One advantage of virtual over in-person learning is that physical geography is not a constraint. At NSSI, talented mentor teachers from across the country were able to extend their reach, working with students and teachers outside of their home states through an online platform. Given the widespread use of virtual learning during the COVID-19 pandemic, educational leaders could capitalize on this feature of online learning to increase the access low-income students of color have to the highly effective teachers who are currently inequitably

distributed across schools (Boyd et al., 2011; Boyd, Lankford, Loeb & Wyckoff, 2005; Lankford, Loeb & Wyckoff, 2002).

The study also speaks to teacher development. Mentor teacher videos provided powerful models for partner teachers. This could be accomplished within in-person settings but virtual learning facilitates the creation of videos that can be curated into libraries of teaching practice. In the future, online learning could facilitate more systematic observation of partner teachers by talented coaches than has historically been possible in traditional in-person schools. This would be consistent with other research demonstrating the positive effects of video-based observation on teacher perceptions of the evaluation process (Quinn, Kane, Greenberg & Thal, 2018; Kane, Blazar, Gehlbach, Greenberg, Quinn & Thal, 2020).

Our study also reveals potential lessons for teaching and learning more generally, regardless of whether it be in a virtual or in-person setting. Our findings suggest that high-quality, rich, culturally relevant, timely content can be useful for generating student engagement. Pedagogy that allows students at multiple levels to access the content may also be valuable for promoting engagement in math. The results suggest that teachers perceive culturally relevant content to be helpful for student engagement, consistent with evidence on the causal effects of programs such as ethnic studies on engagement and achievement (Dee & Penner, 2017). However, our findings also illustrate how efforts to incorporate such content can backfire. This suggests the need for thoughtful design from the start, and that cultural relevance can be challenging to accomplish on the fly.

Importantly, our findings strongly suggest that teachers need not reinvent the wheel when it comes to curriculum. Centralized efforts to provide high-quality—but still adaptable—lessons to teachers can save them valuable time that they can instead devote to other important tasks

such as internalizing lessons, providing feedback, and building relationships. This seems especially relevant in the times of coronavirus when educators are overwhelmed with the logistical challenges of physical distancing and developing virtual teaching skills but is probably a useful lesson for leaders regardless of the broader circumstances. Notably, our findings on teacher perceptions are consistent with existing evidence on the causal effects of providing curriculum for student achievement (Jackson & Makarin, 2018).

We also see implications for teacher development within and beyond virtual learning environments. Mentor teachers' experiences suggest that programs that differentiate teachers' roles based on experience and effectiveness can generate enthusiasm among talented educators and multiply their impact. Partner teachers' experiences suggest that sustained partnership with a talented mentor who shares materials and practices was perceived as a powerful instructional improvement strategy, with potential for both online and in-person instructional formats. Our results further suggest that teachers appreciate PD that is differentiated to their needs and levels of experience. Teachers also appreciate coaching that is delivered by someone who has previously taught the material and can therefore provide instructional guidance with credibility.

Our study cannot speak to the effectiveness of virtual learning as a whole, nor does it provide a comparison between the efficacy of virtual versus in-person instruction. However, our findings do suggest promising practices and provide some room for optimism about what can be accomplished through virtual learning in times when in-person schooling is restricted.

References

- Boyd, D., Grossman, P., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., Ronfeldt, M. and Wyckoff, J. (2011). “The Effect of School Neighborhoods on Teacher Career Decisions” in Murnane, R.M. & Duncan, G. *Whither Opportunity: Rising Inequality, Schools, and Children's Life Chances*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution, 377- 396.
- Boyd, D., Lankford, H., Loeb, S. and Wyckoff, J. (2005). The Draw of Home: How Teachers’ Preferences for Proximity Disadvantage Urban Schools. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 24(1): 113- 132.
- Bueno, C. (2020). Bricks and Mortar vs. Computers and Modems: The Impacts of Enrollment in K-12 Virtual Schools. Annenberg EdWorking Paper No. 20-250.
- Dee, T. & Penner, E. (2017). The Causal Effects of Cultural Relevance: Evidence From an Ethnic Studies Curriculum. *American Educational Research Journal*, 54(1), 127-166.
- Gallagher, H.A. & Cottingham, B. (2020). Improving the Quality of Distance and Blended Learning. EdResearch for Recovery Brief No. 8.
https://annenberg.brown.edu/sites/default/files/EdResearch_for_Recovery_Brief_8.pdf
- Jackson, K. & Makarin, A. (2018). Can Online Off-The-Shelf Lessons Improve Student Outcomes? Evidence from A Field Experiment. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 10(3) (August 2018): 226-54.
- Kane, T. J., Blazar, D., Gehlbach, H., Greenberg, M., Quinn, D., & Thal, D. (In Press). Substituting teacher-collected video for formal classroom observations: An experimental evaluation. *Education Finance and Policy*.
- Kim, J. S., & Quinn, D. M. (2013). The effects of summer reading on low-income children’s literacy achievement from kindergarten to grade 8: A meta-analysis of classroom and home interventions. *Review of Educational Research*, 83(3), 386-431.
- Kuhfeld, M., Soland, J., Tarasawa, B., Johnson, A., Ruzek, E., & Liu, J. (2020). Projecting the potential impacts of COVID-19 school closures on academic achievement. (EdWorkingPaper: 20-226). Retrieved from Annenberg Institute at Brown University: <https://doi.org/10.26300/cdrv-yw05>.
- Kuhfeld, M., & Tarasawa, B. (2020). The COVID-19 slide: What summer learning loss can tell us about the potential impact of school closures on student academic achievement.
- Kuhfeld, M., Tarasawa, B., Johnson, A., Ruzek, E., & Lewis, K. (2020). Learning during COVID-19: Initial findings on students’ reading and math achievement and growth. NWEA Research.

Lankford, H., Loeb, S. and Wyckoff, J. (2002). Teacher Sorting and the Plight of Urban Schools: A Descriptive Analysis. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, vol. 24(1), pp. 38-62.

Lauer, P. A., Akiba, M., Wilkerson, S. B., Apthorp, H. S., Snow, D., & Martin-Glenn, M. L. (2006). Out-of-school-time programs: A meta-analysis of effects for at-risk students. *Review of Educational Research*, 76(2), 275-313.

Pyne, Messner & Dee (2020). The Dynamic Effects of a Summer Learning Program on Behavioral Engagement in School. Stanford Center for Education Policy Analysis Working Paper No. 20-10.

Quinn, D., Kane, T., Greenberg, M. and Thal, D. (2018). Effects of a Video-Based Teacher Observation Program on the De-Privatization of Instruction: Evidence From a Randomized Experiment. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 54, No. 4, pp. 529 –558.

Schueler, B. (2020). Making the Most of School Vacation: A Field Experiment of Small Group Math Instruction. *Education Finance and Policy*, 15(2), 310-331.

Tables

Table 1. Describing NSSI Schools Relative to All U.S. Schools

	NSSI Schools				All U.S. Schools			
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max
N of schools	66				106,687			
N of states	16				51			
Minimum grade	3.72	1.24	3.00	7.00	3.97	1.52	3.00	8.00
Maximum grade	6.86	1.69	3.00	8.00	6.41	1.49	3.00	8.00
Enrollment	500	551	36	4161	497	438	1	13789
Asian	0.02	0.07	0.00	0.51	0.04	0.08	0.00	1.00
Black	0.56	0.39	0.00	1.00	0.17	0.26	0.00	1.00
Hispanic	0.34	0.33	0.00	0.99	0.21	0.26	0.00	1.00
White	0.07	0.15	0.00	0.64	0.56	0.34	0.00	1.00
Subsidized Lunch	0.79	0.17	0.10	0.98	0.54	0.26	0.01	1.00
Gifted	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.00	1.00
IEP	0.09	0.13	0.00	0.56	0.09	0.14	0.00	1.00
Achievement (mean)	-0.14		-	0.61	-0.04		-3.04	2.41
Achievement (se)	0.07		0.02	0.26	0.04		0.02	0.30

Note: Data are drawn from the Stanford Education Data Archive Version 3.0, averaging at the school level across all available school years (2008-09 to 2015-16). Achievement is based on an average of math and ELA test score performance normed to be comparable across states.

Table 2. Describing the NSSI Teacher Study Sample

	Mentor Teachers			Partner Teachers		
	All Teachers	Survey Sample	Interview Sample	All Teachers	Survey Sample	Interview Sample
N of teachers	15	12	7	513	188	22
Female	53%	50%	50%	-	-	82%
Grade	5.2	5.5	5.86	5.15	5.19	5.67
Minimum grade	3	3	3	3	3	3
Maximum grade	8	8	8	8	8	8
Grade missing	0%	0%	0%	5%	11%*	5%
Multiple grades	20%	0%	0%	38%	39%	24%
ELA	40%	50%	43%	56%	51%	48%
Math	60%	50%	57%	34%	34%	38%
Substitute	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
CMO	67%	75%	83%	56%	44%***	54%
Years teaching	-	-	12.17	-	-	8.91
Survey missing	20%	-	0%	65%	-	59%
Survey rating	-	4.44 (0.88)	4.72 (1.00)	-	4.48 (0.69)	4.42 (0.83)

Note: Statistical significance refers to differences between the sample and the full population of NSSI teachers (in cases where it is possible to test for such a difference). Partner Teacher Survey Sample represents those answering the third wave survey in week 5 of the program. Subject refers to the subject the teacher taught at NSSI. Grade represents the lowest grade taught in cases where a teacher taught multiple grades. Years of teaching experience refers to the total number of years the teacher reported serving as a teacher or administrator at any school prior to working at NSSI. CMO refers to whether the teacher teaches at a school that is part of a charter management organization during the regular school year. All values represent percentages unless units are otherwise specified. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$.

Table 3. Partner Teacher Endline Survey Results

N of teachers					188
N of networks/districts					36
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	
How likely are you to recommend teaching at NSSI to other teachers?	8.47	1.66	2	10	
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The daily intellectual prep PD time is making me a stronger teacher	4	10	25	34	26
The daily student work analysis time is making me a stronger teacher	4	15	24	28	29
The curriculum and lesson materials provided by NSSI are strong	2	2	12	32	53
The daily lesson videos and lesson flow documents provided by the mentor teacher are strong	1	4	16	30	49
I am enjoying working in partnership with my mentor teacher	1	3	17	25	54
I am learning from my mentor teacher	1	5	15	26	53
I will be a better teacher in the 2020-21 school year because of teaching at NSSI	0	3	11	29	57
I am happy that I am teaching summer school through NSSI	0	1	6	29	64
NSSI increased my enthusiasm about being a teacher	0	4	18	36	41
NSSI improved my perceptions of virtual learning	1	4	10	28	58
My students gained confidence in their academic abilities as a result of NSSI	0	1	17	53	30
My students became more interested in school and learning as a result of NSSI	0	3	25	49	22
My students improved their academic skills as a result of NSSI	0	1	17	54	29

Table 4. Mentor Teacher Endline Survey Results

N of teachers	7				
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	
How likely are you to recommend being a mentor teacher at NSSI to other teachers?	8.86	1.21	7.00	10.00	
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The daily intellectual prep time with my partner teachers is making them stronger	0	14	29	57	0
The student work analysis time with my partner teachers is making them stronger	0	14	57	29	0
The resources from the Lavinia Group are strong	0	14	43	43	0
I am happy that I am a mentor teacher this summer with NSSI	0	0	0	43	57
NSSI increased my enthusiasm about being a teacher	0	0	0	71	29
NSSI improved my perceptions of virtual learning	0	0	14	29	57
Students gained confidence in their academic abilities as a result of NSSI	0	0	0	71	29
Students became more interested in school and learning as a result of NSSI	0	0	0	57	43
Students improved their academic skills as a result of NSSI	0	0	0	71	29

Table 5. Student Survey Endline Results

N of students	2508				
N of schools	36				
	Mean	SD			
Grade	5.25	1.57			
Percent CMO	64.11				
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
I am happy that I am participating in summer school.	4	7	24	27	38
The teaching in summer school is strong.	1	3	13	26	57
My teachers give me good feedback that helps me improve my work.	1	3	12	27	57
The mentor teacher videos we watch in my Reading and Math classes are helpful to my learning.	6	7	23	29	36
The energy in my online classrooms is positive.	2	4	17	28	49
I enjoy participating in my movement and mindfulness (dance and yoga) class.	24	15	25	17	20
This summer, I discovered something new that I am interested in.	12	9	22	24	34
I am now more interested in school than I was before summer school.	11	11	26	21	30
I became more interested in school and learning because of summer school	7	10	28	27	27
I am more confident in my math skills than I was before summer school	5	8	23	27	37
I am more confident in my reading skills than I was before summer school	4	6	22	31	37
I have grown as a mathematician	2	3	21	44	31
I have learned new strategies to solve math problems	3	3	13	43	38
I am more comfortable sharing my ideas in math class	5	8	24	35	29
I am more comfortable solving math problems in more than one way	3	4	21	41	31
I have gained reading skills that help me enjoy a wider variety of texts	2	3	20	42	33
I am more confident in using evidence to support my thinking in reading	2	3	17	41	37
I have grown as a reader	1	2	16	42	40
I am more confident discussing texts	3	4	20	39	34
	Some of the				
How many days in the past week did you attend your movement and mindfulness class?	Never	days	Everyday		
	27	41	32		
	Most of the				
I attended summer school	A few times	Sometimes	time	Almost everyday	Everyday
	3	3	6	25	64

Appendix

Table A1. List of codes applied to interview transcripts (sorted by frequency)

Code	N of Excerpts	N of Interviewees
Curriculum - culturally relevant	55	23
Students - learned	45	23
Virtual lessons - engagement is key	39	23
Curriculum - good level of rigor	48	22
Overall - interviewee suggestion for NSSI improvement	73	22
Teacher development - models on video (improved my teaching)	60	22
Curriculum - engaging for students	55	21
Teacher development - PD participation decreased over time	26	21
Teacher development - prepared me for virtual school year	47	20
Mentor teachers - talented, helpful	48	19
Teacher collaboration - other great teachers	38	19
Teacher development - improved teaching	54	19
Schedule - timing was good for students	23	18
Teacher collaboration - across country	26	18
Workload - just right	30	18
Operations - provided materials (saved time)	40	17
Students - enjoyed program	23	17
Teacher development - promoting student engagement	30	17
Teachers - tailored / adapted program	39	17

Virtual lessons - learning online is possible	30	17
Teacher development - too much PD time	27	16
Diversity, equity, inclusion - positive	25	14
Morale improved - feel more prepared	21	14
Teacher development - lack of differentiation based on teacher experience	30	14
Workload - too heavy	17	14
Curriculum - not relevant	21	13
Great quote!	17	13
Schedule - needed improvement	21	13
Students - not engaged	18	13
Morale improved - enjoyed program	17	12
Overall - good intentions	17	12
Teacher development - mentors going first	21	12
Virtual lessons - it can be fun/joyful	15	12
Operations - technology challenges	20	11
Teacher development - analyzing student work	15	11
Curriculum - allowed for differentiation	17	10
Diversity, equity, inclusion - negative	20	10
Morale improved - created connection/ community	16	10
Students - positive exposure to virtual learning	13	10
Teacher development - PD had bad timing in schedule	12	10
Virtual lessons - greater access is possible, geography is out the window	13	10
Virtual lessons - meaningful content is key	16	10
Curriculum - pacing just right	10	9

Overall - familiar faces were helpful / lack of familiar faces was unhelpful	13	9
Students - NSSI addressed COVID learning loss	11	9
Teachers - had agency / role in co-creation	15	9
Curriculum - not differentiated (for students of different levels)	10	8
Curriculum - pacing too fast	15	8
Operations - materials were well-organized	18	8
Overall - "the math incident"	13	8
Overall - ambitious program - scale, speed	13	8
Teacher development - PD was more intensive than regular school	11	8
Virtual lessons - celebrating student models motivated students	13	8
Virtual lessons - student community is key	15	8
Virtual lessons - technology access matters	15	8
Curriculum - not rigorous	11	7
Feedback - I needed more during NSSI	13	7
Leaders - responsive to feedback	10	7
Mentor teachers - partner teachers didn't play videos for students	8	7
Operations - operations were disorganized and/or confusing	14	7
Teacher collaboration - not enough	11	7
Teacher development - giving feedback to students	11	7
Teacher development - Lavinia Group was not valuable	9	7
Teacher development - Lavinia Group was valuable	9	7
Curriculum - math story approach was good	8	6
Curriculum - not engaging	7	6
Morale improved - gave me purpose	6	6

Teacher development - creating joyful classroom	7	6
Teacher development - culturally relevant curriculum important / possible	6	6
Teacher development - one-on-one was helpful	9	6
Teacher development - training (pre-NSSI) was ineffective	11	6
Virtual lessons - anonymity/privacy has advantages	6	6
Feedback - worked well for mentor teachers (feedback to mentor teachers)	6	5
Teacher development - increased teacher expectations for students	6	5
Teacher development - mentors should have observed partner teachers	6	5
Teacher development - using examples of student work	5	5
Virtual lessons - breakout rooms are helpful	7	5
Virtual lessons - synchronous is valuable	7	5
Workload - heavy bc startup	6	5
Feedback - program needs a more thorough impact evaluation	5	4
Fishbowl - did not select "camera ready" students	5	4
Fishbowl - did select "camera ready" students	4	4
Fishbowl - selected representative group	4	4
Leaders - lack of diversity among program leaders	5	4
Morale improved - lack of testing was enjoyable	5	4
Operations - teaching assignment was off (e.g., grade, subject)	6	4
Schedule - unclear	6	4
Teacher development - PD expectations were unclear	9	4
Workload - inequitable ELA/Math	6	4
Curriculum - not comprehensive	4	3
Feedback - unclear who to go to for support/feedback	3	3

Leaders - lack of anti-racist leadership	4	3
Operations - materials were unclear	5	3
Students - grades would increase motivation	3	3
Students - students w/ disabilities needs not met	4	3
Teacher collaboration - collab w/ other partner teachers not helpful	5	3
Teacher development - not enough training (before program)	3	3
Virtual lessons - everything takes longer	3	3
Virtual lessons - less is more	3	3
Curriculum - skipped around	2	2
Fishbowl - encouraged to select "camera ready" students	4	2
Fishbowl - selected kids from mentor teacher home school	2	2
Fishbowl - selected kids not from mentor teacher home school	2	2
Mentor teachers - not helpful (to partner teachers)	2	2
Operations - materials never came or were delayed	5	2
Operations - too long (too many weeks of summer)	2	2
Operations - too many grades per teacher	2	2
Overall - promoted equity / targeted student population	3	2
Students - developed new interests at NSSI	2	2
Students - did not learn much	2	2
Teacher development - needed more time to analyze student work	2	2
Teachers - lack of teacher voice	2	2
Virtual lessons - expand teacher reach	2	2
Virtual lessons - no differences in motivation than in-person	6	2
Virtual lessons - some things are not possible	3	2

Virtual lessons - students upload work	4	2
Workload - light	3	2
Feedback - fewer surveys, more focus groups (partner to mentor teachers)	1	1
Feedback - too much feedback from partner teachers	1	1
Mentor teachers - too many from charter sector	1	1
Morale hurt	1	1
Morale improved - greater reach	2	1
Teacher recruitment - branding was too "reform-y"	3	1
Teachers - developed new interests	2	1
Virtual lessons - being a fishbowl student was motivating	3	1
Virtual lessons - developing independence	1	1
Virtual lessons - instructional quality is more important than fancy tech	1	1
Virtual lessons - addressing absenteeism (bc students can watch video later)	1	1
Virtual lessons - do we need regular in-person school?	2	1
Virtual lessons - taking attendance is harder than in person	2	1

Table A2. Admin Coach Post-NSSI Survey Results (n=42)

	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Percent CMO	42.86	0.5		
N partner teachers - math	4.1	6.88	1	45
N partner teachers - ELA	5.05	7.01	1	45
N of students				
Grade 3	29.56	53.08	0	300
Grade 4	27.18	52.27	0	300
Grade 5	28.71	51.18	0	300
Grade 6	32.84	49.77	0	300
Grade 7	32.87	47.70	0	300
Grade 8	12.87	18.01	0	68
Total	150.55	230.41	6	1500
Class size	27.36	44.78	6	300
Percent attended 75%+ days				
Grade 3	38.25	35.70	0	92
Grade 4	40.63	35.75	0	86
Grade 5	50.42	37.18	0	100
Grade 6	54.19	32.86	0	100
Grade 7	50.38	31.72	0	95
Grade 8	33.94	34.83	0	95
Hours per week spent observing				
<1 hour	14.63			
1-5 hours	53.66			

6-10 hours	24.39			
11-15 hours	7.32			
Percent students with tech issues				
<10%	45.24			
10-25%	35.71			
25-50%	9.52			
50-75%	2.38			
75-100%	7.14			
Percent student engagement level				
Not at all engaged	0.00			
Slightly engaged	4.76			
Somewhat engaged	47.62			
Very engaged	47.62			
Extremely engaged	0.00			
Level of agreement (scale 1-5)				
Daily CCC was effective	3.98	0.95	2	5
Movement & mindfulness was effective	2.69	1.16	1	5
Daily intellectual prep PD made my teachers stronger	3.41	1.24	1	5
Daily student work analysis made my teachers stronger	3.38	1.10	1	5
Curriculum & lesson materials provided by NSSI are strong	4.12	1.11	1	5
Daily videos & lesson flow documents provided by mentor teachers are strong	3.81	1.06	1	5
NSSI improved my perceptions of virtual learning	3.60	1.21	1	5
I am happy that I am teaching summer school through NSSI	4.05	0.93	2	5
How likely are you to recommend teaching at NSSI to other teachers (scale 0-10)	7.54	2.44	1	10

Table A3. Parent Survey Results

	Week 1		Week 3		Week 5		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
N of parents	1147		1467		903		
N of schools	36		35		30		
Grade	3 - 8	4.99	1.49	4.98	1.50	5.13	1.49
Percent CMO		66.1%		72%		63.4%	
I am satisfied with my child's experience in the summer program run by the NSSI	1 - 5	4.36	0.89	4.38	0.90	4.41	0.88
How likely are you to recommend other students attend the summer school offered by the NSSI?	1 - 10	8.76	1.95	8.71	2.03	8.56	2.16
My child became more interested in school and learning as a result of summer school	1 - 5			3.91	1.16	4.00	1.04
My child gained confidence in his or her academic abilities as a result of summer school	1 - 5			4.01	1.09	4.12	0.95
My child improved his or her math skills as a result of summer school	1 - 5			3.88	1.15	4.13	0.94
My child improved his or her reading skills as a result of summer school	1 - 5			3.99	1.04	4.09	0.90
Through summer school, my child discovered something new that he or she is interested in	1 - 5			3.82	1.15	3.86	1.10
NSSI improved my perceptions of virtual learning	1 - 5			3.99	1.10	4.16	0.99

Table A4. Partner Teacher Survey Results

	Week 1				Week 3				Week 5			
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max
N of teachers	209				327				188			
N of networks/districts	45				48				36			
The daily intellectual prep PD time is making me a stronger teacher	3.59	1.19	1	5	3.73	1.01	1	5	3.68	1.10	1	5
The daily student work analysis time is making me a stronger teacher	3.46	1.23	1	5	3.56	1.09	1	5	3.64	1.16	1	5
How likely are you to recommend teaching at NSSI to other teachers?	7.64	2.11	1	10	7.85	2.05	0	10	8.47	1.66	2	10
The curriculum and lesson materials provided by NSSI are strong	4.24	0.91	1	5	4.21	0.87	1	5	4.32	0.89	1	5
The daily lesson videos and lesson flow documents provided by the mentor teacher are strong	4.12	1.02	1	5	4.20	0.92	1	5	4.24	0.90	1	5
I am enjoying working in partnership with my mentor teacher	4.21	0.97	1	5	4.23	0.93	1	5	4.30	0.90	1	5
I am learning from my mentor teacher	4.21	0.95	1	5	4.20	0.96	1	5	4.24	0.97	1	5
I will be a better teacher in the 2020-21 school year because of teaching at NSSI	4.15	0.97	1	5	4.29	0.86	1	5	4.41	0.79	2	5
I am happy that I am teaching summer school through NSSI	4.15	0.90	1	5	4.29	0.88	1	5	4.55	0.67	2	5
NSSI increased my enthusiasm about being a teacher					3.82	1.02	1	5	4.14	0.87	2	5
NSSI improved my perceptions of virtual learning					4.42	0.84	1	5	4.38	0.87	1	5
My students gained confidence in their academic abilities as a result of NSSI					3.72	1.03	1	5	4.12	0.69	2	5
My students became more interested in school and learning as a result of NSSI					4.02	0.62	3	5	3.90	0.77	2	5
My students improved their academic skills as a result of NSSI					4.00	0.68	2	5	4.10	0.70	2	5

Table A5. Mentor Teacher Survey Results

	Week 1				Week 3				Week 5			
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max
N of teachers	12				12				7			
The daily intellectual prep time with my partner teachers in making them stronger	3.50	1.24	1.00	5.00	3.08	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.43	0.79	2.00	4.00
The student work analysis time with my partner teachers is making them stronger	3.17	1.27	1.00	5.00	3.25	0.97	1.00	4.00	3.14	0.69	2.00	4.00
How likely are you to recommend being a mentor teacher at NSSI to other teachers?	6.67	3.60	1.00	10.00	7.75	2.18	3.00	10.00	8.86	1.21	7.00	10.00
Summary rating of above questions (comparable across all weeks)	4.44	0.88	3.33	6.00	4.69	0.86	3.67	6.00	5.14	0.74	4.00	6.00
The resources from the Lavinia Group are strong	3.58	1.08	2.00	5.00	-	-	-	-	3.29	0.76	2.00	4.00
I am happy that I am a mentor teacher this summer with NSSI	4.00	1.04	2.00	5.00	-	-	-	-	4.57	0.53	4.00	5.00
Students gained confidence in their academic abilities as a result of NSSI	-	-	-	-	3.50	0.80	2.00	5.00	4.29	0.49	4.00	5.00
NSSI increased my enthusiasm about being a teacher	-	-	-	-	4.17	1.03	2.00	5.00	4.29	0.49	4.00	5.00
Students became more interested in school and learning as a result of NSSI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.43	0.53	4.00	5.00
Students improved their academic skills as a result of NSSI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.29	0.49	4.00	5.00
NSSI improved my perceptions of virtual learning	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.43	0.79	3.00	5.00

Table A6. Student Survey Results

	Week 1		Week 3		Week 5	
N of students	4063		4103		2508	
N of schools	39		45		36	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Grade	5.22	1.49	5.05	1.55	5.25	1.57
Percent CMO	73.44%		69.24%		64.11%	
I am happy that I am participating in summer school.	3.54	1.18	3.65	1.18	3.8707	1.12
The teaching in summer school is strong.	4.21	0.90	4.24	0.89	4.33	0.91
My teachers give me good feedback that helps me improve my work.	4.27	0.93	4.30	0.94	4.37	0.88
The mentor teacher videos we watch in my Reading and Math classes are helpful to my learning.	3.94	1.08	3.89	1.13	3.83	1.16
The energy in my online classrooms is positive.	4.13	0.99	4.09	1.03	4.18	0.99
I enjoy participating in my movement and mindfulness (dance and yoga) class.	3.07	1.40	2.93	1.44	2.93	1.43
This summer, I discovered something new that I am interested in.	3.31	1.40	3.45	1.38	3.58	1.35
I am now more interested in school than I was before summer school.	3.21	1.36	3.34	1.38	3.48	1.33
How many days in the past week did you attend your movement and mindfulness class?						
Never	17.25%		22.32%		26.50%	
Some of the days	38.53%		43.23%		41.19%	
Everyday	44.22%		34.44%		32.31%	
I became more interested in school and learning because of summer school					3.57	1.19
I am more confident in my math skills than I was before summer school					3.84	1.15
I am more confident in my reading skills than I was before summer school					3.91	1.08
I have grown as a mathematician					3.94	0.92
I have learned new strategies to solve math problems					4.11	0.92
I am more comfortable sharing my ideas in math class					3.75	1.10
I have gained reading skills that help me enjoy a wider variety of texts					4.00	0.91
I am more confident in using evidence to support my thinking in reading					4.09	0.91
I am more comfortable solving math problems in more than one way					3.94	0.97
I have grown as a reader					4.16	0.85
I am more confident discussing texts					3.98	0.97
I attended summer school						
A few times					2.73%	
Sometimes					3.08%	
Most of the time					5.80%	
Almost everyday					24.55%	
Everyday					63.85%	

Interview Recruitment Email

Subject Line: Participate in NSSI Study Interview?

Dear FirstName LastName,

My name is Isabelle Edwards and I am a member of a research team based at the University of Virginia conducting a study of the National Summer School Initiative (NSSI), led by Dr. Beth Schueler. You have been selected to participate in an interview so that our team can learn more about your experience with NSSI this summer. The goal is to better understand what worked well and what could be improved to inform future iterations of the program, as well as similar programs around the country.

The interview would occur by via Zoom (either video or audio only – your choice) and take no more than one hour. We would provide a \$50 gift card as a thank you for your time. Your answers to interview questions would be entirely confidential and would in no way jeopardize your relationship with NSSI. Responses would be analyzed by our research team not NSSI staff.

If you're willing to consider participating, please review the attached consent form and let me know if you have any questions. If and when you're ready to, please sign electronically and return the form to indicate your willingness to participate and we will be back in touch to schedule the interview at a time that is convenient for you. We can do weekdays, evenings, or weekends, depending on your availability. We hope to complete all interviews in the next two weeks, so we hope to hear from you soon.

Many thanks for your time and consideration, especially during these challenging times.

Best,
FirstName

FirstName LastName
Research Assistant
NSSI Study Team
University of Virginia

Partner Teacher Interview Protocol

Introduction: Thank you again for taking the time to participate today, especially since I realize this is a challenging time for most people. A few quick reminders before we get started:

- First, the goal of this interview is for me to learn about your experience with NSSI to both improve the NSSI program in the future and identify lessons for the field as a whole about virtual instruction and teaching and learning in general.
- Your participation is voluntary. You're welcome to skip questions or stop the interview at any time. Your answers will be confidential and analyzed by researchers not NSSI staff. We will not use your name in any reports.
- Finally, I would like to audio record this interview so that I can focus on listening rather than taking notes. Do I have your permission to record? <If yes, hit "record">
- Any questions for me before we get started?

Interview Questions:

- 1) I'm hoping we can begin by you telling me a bit about yourself for context. Where are you located geographically and how long have you been a teacher? [How many years?]
- 2) Tell me about your experience participating in NSSI. Overall, how did it go?
- 3) How was NSSI similar or different from a typical month at your school? What were the biggest differences?
- 4) [If there were differences] were those differences good or bad? In other words, should school be more like NSSI, or should NSSI be more like school?
- 5) In your view, what were the key strengths—if any—of the NSSI program?
- 6) What were the major weaknesses or areas for improvement—if any—of the program?
- 7) Did students benefit from the program? Why or why not? If so, how much and in what ways? How could you tell whether students benefitted?
- 8) To what extent—if at all—did NSSI affect your students' attitudes toward school and learning?
- 9) Tell me about the workload on your end. Was it too little, too much, just right? How so?
- 10) Tell me about the professional development component.
- 11) Do you think the PD helped you improve your teaching? Why or why not? What worked and what could be improved? If it was not helpful, what would have been more helpful?
- 12) How often did you attend the PD? If not always, tell me a bit about why (and no judgement one way or the other!).
- 13) How did the PD compare to the PD you typically receive at your school?
- 14) How was the schedule for your students? What worked well and what could be improved?

- 15) How was the content of the curriculum for your students?
- 16) How was the rigor and pacing of the curriculum for your students?
- 17) How relevant was the program content given the diverse backgrounds of NSSI students?
- 18) Tell me about the level of student engagement with the program. What, if anything, did you learn from NSSI about promoting student engagement in virtual learning settings?
- 19) How did NSSI affect your perceptions of what is possible (or not possible) with virtual learning?
- 20) COVID-19 has made this a tough time for many teachers. How, if at all, did participating in NSSI affect your overall morale and feelings about teaching?
- 21) There are a lot of downsides to virtual learning but one of the upsides is that geography is out of the window. Tell me whether and how much that mattered here. How important was interacting with teachers from other parts of the country?
- 22) Tell me more about the experience of watching the mentor teacher's lessons. Was that useful for you or not so much? Was there anything you saw that you will take and apply in your own teaching?
- 23) At NSSI, how much interaction and collaboration did you have with other teachers? How did that compare to the interaction and collaboration you have in a typical month of school?
- 24) Overall, would you return to NSSI or a similar program in the future? Why or why not?
- 25) Is there anything you think other teachers and school systems can learn or take away from NSSI?
- 26) What else should I know about the program or your experience with NSSI?

Mentor Teacher Interview Protocol

Introduction: Thank you again for taking the time to participate today, especially since I realize this is a challenging time for most people. A few quick reminders before we get started:

- First, the goal of this interview is for me to learn about your experience with NSSI to both improve the NSSI program in the future and identify lessons for the field as a whole about virtual instruction and teaching and learning in general.
- Your participation is voluntary. You are welcome to skip questions or stop the interview at any time. Your answers will be confidential and analyzed by researchers not NSSI staff. We will not use your name in any reports.
- Finally, I would like to audio record this interview so that I can focus on listening rather than taking notes. Do I have your permission to record? <If yes, hit “record”>
- Any questions for me before we get started?

Interview Questions:

- 1) I'm hoping we can begin by you telling me a bit about yourself for context. Where are you located geographically and how long have you been a teacher and/or administrator? [How many years?]
- 2) Tell me about your experience participating in NSSI. Overall, how did it go?
- 3) How was NSSI similar or different from a typical month at your school (or the most recent school where you've worked)? What were the biggest differences?
- 4) [If there were differences] were those differences good or bad? In other words, should school be more like NSSI, or should NSSI be more like school?
- 5) In your view, what were the key strengths—if any—of the NSSI program?
- 6) What were the major weaknesses or areas for improvement—if any—of the program?
- 7) Did students benefit from the program? Why or why not? If so, how much and in what ways? How could you tell whether students benefitted?
- 8) To what extent—if at all—did NSSI affect your students' attitudes toward school and learning?
- 9) Tell me about the workload on your end. Was it too little, too much, just right? How so?
- 10) Tell me about the mentoring component of the program.
- 11) How often did partner teachers in your group attend the professional development sessions? What worked and what could be improved?
- 12) Do you think the mentoring helped partner teachers in your group improve their instruction? Why or why not? If so, in what ways and how could you tell?
- 13) How did the mentoring compare to the mentoring or professional development that typically occurs at your school (or the most recent school where you've worked)?

- 14) Tell me about the feedback you received during the program (from NSSI leaders, partner teachers, or others). Was it helpful? Why / why not? What worked and how could the feedback process be improved?
- 15) Tell me about the process of recruiting “showcase” or “fishbowl” students in your group. What worked and what could be improved?
- 16) How was the content, rigor, and pacing of the curriculum for the students?
- 17) How relevant was the program content given the diverse backgrounds of NSSI students?
- 18) Tell me about the level of student engagement with the program. What, if anything, did you learn from NSSI about promoting student engagement in virtual learning settings?
- 19) How did NSSI affect your perceptions of what is possible (or not possible) with virtual learning?
- 20) COVID-19 has made this a tough time for many teachers. How, if at all, did participating in NSSI affect your overall morale and feelings about teaching?
- 21) There are a lot of downsides to virtual learning but one of the upsides is that geography is out of the window. Tell me whether and how much that mattered here. How important was interacting with teachers from other parts of the country?
- 22) At NSSI, how much interaction and collaboration did you have with other educators? How did that compare to the interaction and collaboration you have in a typical month of school?
- 23) Overall, would you return to NSSI or a similar program in the future? Why or why not?
- 24) Is there anything other teachers and school systems can learn or take away from NSSI?
- 25) What else should I know about the program or your experience with NSSI?